Trade Crossfire

Issue Prosecution	Defense	Verdict (Why?)
Resolved: Free Trade Agreements like NAFTA are bad for average Americans and reduce good blue collar jobs in the USA. 1. Increased job outsourcing. Why does that has Reducing tariffs on imports allows companies to the countries. Without tariffs, imports from coal low cost of living cost less. It makes it difficult companies in those same industries to compet may reduce their workforce. Many U.S. manufacture good blue collar jobs in the USA. 2. Theft of intellectual property. Many developing don't have laws to protect patents, inventions a processes. The laws they do have aren't alway enforced. As a result, corporations often have to stolen. They must then compete with lower-price knock-offs. 3. Crowd out domestic industries. Many emergate traditional economies that rely on farming femployment. These small family farms can't cususidized agri-businesses in the developed coresult, they lose their farms and must look for vities. This aggravates unemployment, crime at 4. Poor working conditions. Multi-national compoutsource jobs to emerging market countries wadequate labor protections. As a result, wome children are often subjected to grueling factory standard conditions. 5. Degradation of natural resources. Emerging countries often don't have many environmental Free trade leads to depletion of timber, mineral natural resources. Deforestation and strip-minitheir jungles and fields to wastelands. 6. Destruction of native cultures. As development into isolated areas, indigenous cultures can be Local peoples are uprooted. Many suffer diseas when their resources are polluted. 7. Reduced tax revenue. Many smaller countrier replace revenue lost from import tariffs and fee	countries. Increased trade has six main advantages: to trut. S. e, so they acturing it of NAFTA. It sent jobs to and new it of countries and new it of strictly their ideas acted domestic and poverty. Increased economic growth. The U.S. Trade Representative Office estimates that NAFTA increased U.S. economic growth by 0.5 percent a year. 2. More dynamic business climate. Often, businesses were protected before the agreement. These local industries risked becoming stagnant and non-competitive on the global market. With the protection removed, they have the motivation to become true global competitors. 3. Lower government spending. Many governments subsidize local industry segments. After the trade agreement removes subsidies, those funds can be put to better use. 4. Foreign direct investment. Investors will flock to the country. This adds capital to expand local industries and boost domestic businesses. It also brings in U.S. dollars to many formerly isolated countries. 5. Expertise. Global companies have more expertise than domestic companies to develop local resources. That's especially true in mining, oil drilling and manufacturing. Free trade agreements allow the global firms access to these business opportunities. When the multi-nationals partner with local firms to develop the resources, they train them on the best practices. That gives local firms access to these new methods. 6. Technology transfer. Local companies also receive access to the latest technologies from their multinational partners. As local economies grow, so do job opportunities. Multi-national companies provide job training to local employees.	

Trade Crossfire

Resolved: America should re- enter the TPP		
Resolved: America should get tough with China's trade practices.		

Some general thoughts on answers to the problems: Trade protectionism is rarely the answer. High tariffs only protect domestic industries in the short term. But, in the long term, global corporations will hire the cheapest workers wherever they are in the world to make higher profits.

The best solutions are regulations within the agreements that protect against the disadvantages. Environmental safeguards can prevent destruction natural resources and cultures. Labor laws prevent poor working conditions. The World Trade Organization enforces free trade agreement regulations.

Developed economies can reduce their agribusiness subsidies, keeping emerging market farmers in business. They can help local farmers develop sustainable practices, and then market them as such to consumers who value that. Countries can insist that foreign companies build local factories as part of the agreement. They can require these companies to share technology and train local workers.

Trade Crossfire